



Letter to Examiner Kurt Rowan
Art Unit 3643 for Patent Application 10/083/771
Filed by Huey Thomas Crochet 2/27/2002

RECEIVED

FEB 05 2003

GROUP 3600

Sir,

The figure 13 of the drawings of the Adams patent shows an all metal version of the Adams weight that is stated to be the same in dimensions and angles of curvature as the preferred embodiment with said angles and curvature being critical relative to balance for eliminating spinning when using the preferred embodiment. Such circumstance is described in the preferred embodiment of the Adams patent, median numbers 30 - 60, and particularly 30-35 and 55-60, and also the last paragraph of page 6 and continued on page 7. The applicant respectfully solicits reconsideration of the office action regarding Adams for the following reasons.

1. If any version of the Adams weight is attached by anything other than the line, a redundancy of design and operation has been committed since the Adams patent teaches emphatically away from spinning and has no critical advantage by the addition of unnecessary attachments. Median #20 of summary.

2. The Adams patent distinguishes over the prior art as being unitary and teaches expressly away from multiple parts in the prior art section in paragraphs 2 and 3.

3. As curvature and angle is applied to the preferred embodiment as critical to balance to eliminate spinning and the same critical curvature and angles are applied to the all metal version, and since Adams teaches specifically against spinning, and not once for spinning, the applicant submits that the all metal version also does not spin according to Adams and that attachment of anything other than the line is redundant of operation and superficial of design. Further Adams specifically states in the prior art that there is a need in the art for a substantially unitary fishing sinker.

Adams further states that as a result of being comprised of multiple parts, weights of the prior art often hang up in and around rocks, stumps and brush-laden areas.

Description of preferred embodiment page heading 4 to the right of median numbers 55-60 and prior art paragraph 2-3 median #20 of summary of invention.

In conclusion, the applicant thanks the examiner for his patience and consideration.


Signed Henry Thomas Crockett



COVER SHEET

1. Title and field of invention
2. Prior Art
3. Operation of invention - corrected
4. Objects and advantages - corrected
5. Description of invention - corrected
6. Description of drawing - corrected
7. Request for claims cancellation and substitution
8. Claims - new
9. Drawing - corrected
10. Abstract
11. Response
12. Letter to Examiner

RECEIVED
FEB 05 2003
GROUP 3300



To Examiner Kurt Rowan

1/1
Lts
Coker
3-25-04

Sir,

Regarding the fig. 13 in the letter to the examiner the median numbers 30-60, 30-35 and 55-60 are on page 4 of the preferred embodiment of Adams.

The numbers referred to at the bottom of the page are 55-60 page 4, paragraph 2-3 of prior art and median number 20 of the summary.

I don't have the money to have this retyped right now but I will be happy to make corrections at a later date and beg your indulgence and appreciate your patience.

Thank you Betsy Thomas Crockett

RECEIVED

FEB 05 2003

GROUP 8300